



Cabinet 25 June 2008

Recommendations from Grant Panel: 10 June 2008 (Jun08/18)

(The Chairman of the Grant Panel agreed that the items would be taken out of order to that published on the agenda.)

Report	Title		Recommendations		
Agenda Item 9	Financial Arrangements for Grants	In anticipation that the allocation for 2008/2009, including the amounts brought forward from previous financial years, be fully utilised, consideration be given that as part of the budget setting process, that provision be made in the 2009/2010 budget for the allocation of funding to the Rural Village Halls, Play Schemes and Rural Initiatives Grant Scheme and the Rural Areas Community Initiatives Fund.			
Z41	Applications for Project Funding: 2008/2009	 (a) Rural (Although construction Schemes and recommended allocated from (1) (b) Rural 	 bwing grants be awarded in 2008/2009:- Areas Community Initiatives Fund <i>hsidered in respect of the Rural Village Halls, Play</i> <i>Rural Initiatives Grant Scheme, the Panel has</i> <i>d that the funding for the following application is</i> <i>n the Rural Areas Community Initiatives Fund.</i>) up to a maximum of £3,000 be awarded to Coney Weston Parish Council towards the modernisation and equipping of a new village play area, subject to match funding being confirmed. Village Halls, Play Schemes and Rural Initiatives Scheme £10,000 be awarded to Great Barton Pathway towards the cost of exterior fixtures, fittings and the landscaping of the new nursery school in Great Barton, subject to the remainder of match funding being raised; delegated authority be given to the Corporate Director for Community to approve the Cavendish Pre-school's application for a grant of £7,035 towards upgrading the Jubilee Room of Cavendish Memorial Hall, subject to:- 		

			(i)	further research and consultation being undertaken with the Cavendish Pre-School and the Cavendish Memorial Hall's Management Committee regarding outstanding issues identified at the meeting of the Grant Panel on 10 June 2008; and
			(ii)	consultation with full Members (and substitutes, if appropriate) of the Grant Panel using the 'fast-track' e-mail system that usually is applied to the Rural Areas Community Initiatives Fund Scheme, as detailed in Appendix A to the Grants Policy; and
		(3)	Wood	0 be awarded to Rougham Acorns and lands Limited towards the completion of pre-school building.
Agenda Item 8	Applications for Discretionary Rate Relief: Implications for the Grant Panel	Panel RECO (a) the c discre Deve for th follow (1) (2) (3) (<i>On 7 Nover</i> <i>Council's pol</i> <i>and non-pro</i> <i>following cou</i> <i>the existing</i> <i>On 10 June</i>	MMENE hanges f etionary lopment he Grant ving:- due to receiv an alt applic consid the dr Matrix and si consid and tr consid scale 20% o hber 200 fit makin policy by 2008, th	to the Council's policy on granting top up rate relief, be re-considered by the Policy committee, in respect of the implications Panel, with particular reference to the the significant number of applications red for top up discretionary rate relief, that ernative method for the consideration of sations other than by the Grant Panel be

Grant Panel including that all future applications for top up discretionary rate relief (DRR) be considered by the Grant Panel. A total of 88 applications for top up DRR were received in 2007/2008, therefore this could potentially be an onerous task for the Panel, particularly as the level of funding provided for top up discretionary rate relief would now be reviewed on an annual basis and, therefore, similar numbers of applications would require consideration by the Panel each year.
In addition, the Council's previous policy of granting the standard 20% DRR had been reviewed which would now require the Panel to consider granting relief on a sliding scale of between 0-20%, in increments of 5%, depending on the merits of each application. Concern was therefore expressed, that this could potentially create the possibility of applicants that offered similar services but were awarded different levels of top up DRR, could consider that unfair decisions had been made.
The Panel also recognised that the draft Top Up Discretionary Rate Relief Scoring Matrix (Appendix D to Report Y282) required amendment as it was considered too complicated in its current format. Applications could be dealt with more efficiently if the matrix was simplified which would also help to ensure fairness and transparency.)

W:\Democratic WP Services\Committee\Reports\Cabinet\2008\08.06.25\Recommendations from Grant Panel - 10 June 2008.doc