Cabinet



Forest Heath District Council

Title of Report:	Local Council Tax Reduction Scheme and Council Tax Technical Changes 2017/2018		
	CAB/FH/16/060		
Report to and dates:	Cabinet	13 December 2016	
	Council	21 December 2016	
Portfolio holder:	Stephen Edwards Portfolio Holder for Resources and Performance Tel: 01638 660518 Email : <u>stephen.edwards@forest-heath.gov.uk</u>		
Lead officers:	Paul Corney Head of Anglia Revenues Partnership Tel: 01842 756437 Email: paul.corney@angliarevenues.gov.uk Rachael Mann Head of Resources and Performance Tel: 01638 719245 Email: rachael.mann@westsuffolk.gov.uk		
Purpose of report:	This report also sets out recommendations on the 2017/18 Local Council Tax Reduction Scheme (LCTRS) and technical changes levels from 1 April 2017.		
Recommendation:	It is <u>RECOMMENDED</u> that subject to the approval of Council, no change be made to the current Local Council Tax Reduction Scheme or Council Tax Technical Changes levels for 2017/2018, as detailed in Section 5 of Report No: CAB/FH/16/060.		
Key Decision: (Check the appropriate box and delete all those that <u>do not</u> apply.)	Is this a Key Decision a definition? Yes, it is a Key Decision No, it is not a Key Deci	n - 🗆	

Consultation:	• As	detailed in the body of the report	
Alternative option(s):			
		changing the current LCTR scheme is not	
	req	required from a financial perspective, as	
	the	the current schemes are operating	
	effe	effectively, delivering a cost-neutral	
		position.	
	-	mbers could reduce the maximum level	
		discount under the LCTR scheme to	
		nerate additional revenue for the Council	
		to assist towards delivery of Council services as a result of the wider financial	
		pressures. Providing a maximum of 90%	
	discount (a reduction of 1.5% discount for		
	working age claimants as the current		
	scheme is 91.5%) would generate an		
	additional £2,900 for Forest Heath (based		
	on 9.4% share).		
	Members could reduce the discount		
	available for empty properties and		
	uninhabitable properties to generate		
	additional revenue for the council to assist		
	towards delivery of council services. Complete removal of the current		
	discounts/exemptions around class A and		
	C properties would generate £21,000 for		
		est Heath (based on 9.6% share).	
Implications:			
Are there any financial implica	tions?	Yes 🖂 No 🗆	
If yes, please give details		• As outlined in the body of the	
		report.	
Are there any staffing implicat	ions?	Yes 🗆 No 🖂	
<i>If yes, please give details</i>			
Are there any ICT implications?	P If	Yes 🗆 No 🖂	
yes, please give details			
Are there any legal and/or po	-	Yes 🛛 No 🗆	
<i>implications? If yes, please give</i> <i>details</i>		The national Council Tax Reduction Scheme for pensioners will be	
uetails		Scheme for pensioners will be	
		determined by central government whilst the Local Council Tax	
		Reduction Scheme for people of	
		working age is determined by each	
		local authority. The scheme may	
		be altered each year, giving the	
		council the opportunity to take into	
		consideration any local factors or	
		-	
		budget constraints. Subsequent	
		-	

<i>Are there any equality implications?</i> <i>If yes, please give details</i>		 Yes □ No ⊠ An equality impact assessment was undertaken as part of the development of the 2013/2014 scheme in 2012. As there are no changes to the LCTR scheme the equality impact assessment is unchanged. 		
Risk/opportunity assessment:		(potential hazards or opportunities affecting corporate, service or project objectives)		
Risk area	Inherent level of risk (before controls)	Controls	Residual risk (after controls)	
Reduction in collection rates Council Tax collection rates could decrease over the year, reducing the scheme revenues	High	ARP closely to monitor non-payment from working age claimants.	Medium	
Demand . There is a risk of a higher demand on the LCTR Scheme.	High	ARP to closely monitor caseload. The major precepting authorities will share the financial risks associated with LCTRS. Representatives from Forest Heath and other Suffolk billing authorities and Suffolk County Council are continuing to work together to monitor the county-wide framework.	Medium	
Ward(s) affected		All Wards		
Ward(s) affected: Background papers: (all background papers are to be published on the website and a link included)		All WardsExtraordinary Council (16 January 2013) - Local Council Tax Support 2013/2014 (Report No COU13/610; Appendix A; Appendix B)Extraordinary Council (16 January 2013) - Council Tax for Council Tax Base Setting Purposes 2013/2014 and Changes to the Level of Discounts and Exemptions in respect of Second Homes and some Empty Properties (Report No COU13/611; Appendix 1; Appendix 2)Council (11 December 2013) Council Tax Reduction Scheme and Council Tax Technical Changes 2014/2015 (Report No COU13/654)		

	Council (10 December 2014) - Local Council Tax Reduction Scheme and Council Tax Technical Changes 2015/2016 (Report No COU/FH/14/006; Appendix A)
	<u>Council (9 December 2015)</u> - Local Council Tax Reduction Scheme and Council Tax Technical Changes 2016/2017 (<u>Report No COU/FH/15/040</u> ; <u>Appendix A</u>)
Documents attached:	None

1. Key issues and reasons for recommendation(s)

- 1.1 Since 1 April 2013, Forest Heath District Council has operated a Localised Council Tax Reduction Scheme (LCTRS) to replace the previous, centrally administered Council Tax Benefit. Forest Heath's scheme is aimed at:
 - making provision to protect vulnerable people; and
 - supporting work incentives for claimants created by the Government's wider welfare reform.
- 1.2 Councils were also given the discretion in 2013 to increase council tax income; to charge up to 100% for some previously exempt properties, to charge up to 100% in respect of furnished empty properties (usually referred to as holiday homes), to charge up to 100% in respect of second homes and to charge up to 50% empty homes premium for properties that had been empty for over 2 years, with the aim of bringing them back into use.
- 1.3 Forest Heath's initial scheme for 2013-14 required working age claimants to pay 8.5% more of the council tax charge than previously. This requirement has been continued over the subsequent 3 financial years, 2014-15, 2015-16 and 2016-17.
- 1.4 The technical changes made are shown in table 1 below. Forest Heath also protected War Pensioners (pensioners are protected by the Government changes) from the reduction in maximum benefit and removed Second Adult Rebate for working age claimants.

Discounts	2012/13	2013/14&2014/15	2015/16 & 2016/17
Class A, empty, unfurnished and undergoing major repairs to render habitable	100% exemption for 12 months maximum	30% discount for a twelve month period	30% discount for a twelve month period
Class C, empty, substantially unfurnished	100% exemption for 6 months maximum	One month exemption only of 100%	One month exemption only of 100%
Second homes	10% discount	5% discount	No discount
Empty homes premium (property empty for more than 2 years)		Pay 150%	Pay 150%

<u>Table 1</u>

2. Scheme Review – Financial Impacts

2.1 Council tax accounts where there has been a period of LCTRS awarded, show a collection rate of 84.7%, compared with our target of 90% over the two year period (and 83.3% in 2014-15). As expected, collection has partly relied upon a significant increase in arrangements to deduct council tax from Department for Work and Pension (DWP) Benefits. For comparison purposes, overall in-year collection for 2015/16 was 97.12% (compared to 96.97% in 2014/15).

- 2.2 Forest Heath has seen a reduction in LCTRS caseload of 4% compared to 2015 levels. A very small number of LCTRS customers have also received Housing Benefit reductions attributed to the Welfare Reform changes from April 2013, namely the Spare Room Subsidy Restriction and the Benefit Cap, with little demand for Exceptional Hardship payments which can be applied for using a specific application form available for this purpose.
- 2.3 In assessing the anticipated LCTRS expenditure for 2014/15 it was assumed that this would be at the 2013/14 level along with an assumption for bad debt was factored in to the budget. The actual 2014/15 LCTRS expenditure was therefore below budget due to the drop in overall caseload. Going forward, we assume neutral changes to the caseload as, whilst unemployment continues to fall, a major employer reducing staff significantly, ceasing to trade or relocating is difficult to predict.
- 2.4 In respect of the technical changes for 2015/16, these were broadly in line with the expected costs/budget for the year and it is assumed this will continue throughout the current year 2016/17.

3. Scheme Review – Behavioural and administrative impacts

- 3.1 The Council's aim in setting the LCTRS scheme has so far been to achieve a balance in charging an amount of council tax to encourage working age claimants back in to work whilst setting the amount charged at an affordable and recoverable level.
- 3.2 By setting the amount payable on LCTRS at 8.5% of the charge, in most cases, where a customer is not paying we can effect recovery through attachment to benefit within a year and so the charge, with costs, is recoverable. If the amount payable was much higher than it is, it is likely that debt would not be recoverable and there would be a danger of creating a culture of non-payment of council tax.

4. Setting the 2017/18 scheme

- 4.1 Continuing the current LCTRS and approach to technical changes would create a 'cost neutral scheme' for the council, notwithstanding reductions in the Local Council Tax Support Grant, which would have to be absorbed elsewhere in the council's 2017-18 budget setting process.
- 4.2 Changes could be made to the maximum benefit amount and technical changes, however, both of these would carry with them significant behavioural impacts which could affect overall yield.
- 4.3 National research shows that any further increase in the amount payable for working age LCTRS customers could increase administration costs and have a detrimental effect on collection rates, see the following reports:
 - (A) Impacts of Council Tax Support Reduction on Arrears, Collection rates and Court Administration costs from 2014 (New Policy Institute study).
 - (B) <u>Joseph Rowntree Trust / New Policy Institute Managing the</u> <u>challenges of localised Council Tax Support</u> and

- (C) <u>Eric Ollerenshaw An Independent Review of Local Council Tax</u> <u>Support Schemes</u>
- 4.4 Similarly, any changes to the discounts offered under the technical changes would have a direct impact on the Council's tax base for council tax setting purposes.
- 4.5 As both the LCTR scheme and council tax technical changes are discount and exemption based, any proposed changes have a direct impact on the Council's Tax Base for Council Tax setting purposes. These proposals will therefore feed into the Tax Base setting process during the Autumn 2016.

5. Proposals for 2017/18 scheme

- 5.1 Based on the overall findings of the scheme review outlined above in sections 2 and 3, the recommendation is to continue the LCTR scheme in its current form, including applying the current level of applicable amounts¹ within the LCTRS, for 2017/18.
- 5.2 It is also recommended to continue with the 2016/17 levels for second homes and empty properties, as set out in Table 1 above.
- 5.3 Due to the fact that the LCTRS is not changing this year there is no requirement to undertake specific consultation.
- 5.4 A parallel report is being considered by St Edmundsbury Borough Council Cabinet, with no proposed changes to their scheme for 2017-18, although there continue to be some differences in the technical changes between the two Councils.

¹ An applicable amount is the amount that the Government says that a family needs to live on each week. When a person's applicable amount has been calculated it is then compared with his/her income to work out the council tax reduction entitlement for which s/he is eligible.